IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

FRIDAY, THE 28TH SEPTEMBER 2007 / 6TH ASWINA 1929

OP.No. 18916 of 1999(B)

PETITIONER:

P.VISALAKSHI, AGED 59,
D/O.PONNAMMA, DEEPA BHAVAN,
KIZHAKKUMKARA P.O., KULATHOOR PO.,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.L.MOHANAN
SRI.D.SAJEEV

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. **THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL (ASE), THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**

2. **DIRECTOR OF SURVEY AND LAND RECORDS, OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF SURVEY AND LAND RECORDS, VAZHUTHACAUD, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**

3. **SECRETARY, LAND BOARD, PUBLIC OFFICE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**

BY GOVT. PLEADER SRI.P.N.SANTHOSH.

THIS ORIGINAL PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 28/09/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ORDER ON CMP.NO.31028 OF 1999 IN OP.NO.18916 OF 1999-B.

DISMISSED.

28/9/2007. **Sd/- T.R.Ramachandran Nair, Judge.**

APPENDIX

Petitioner's Exhibits:-

Ext.P1: **Copy of the proceedings of the Board of Revenue (LR) dated 7.6.1998.**

Ext.P2: **Copy of the Gratuity payment order of the 1st respondent dtd.10.6.1995.**

Ext.P3: **Copy of the proceedings No.Sy.A1.464/94/dated 6.5.1997 of the 2nd respondent.**

Ext.P4: **Copy of the proceedings No.32684/E1/96/Rev., dated 31.5.1997 of the Revenue Department.**

Respondents' Exhibits:- **Nil.**

(True copy)

P.A. TO JUDGE.

Kvs/-

T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, J.

= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =

O.P.No. 18916 of 1999-B.

= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =

Dated this the 28th day of September, 2007.

J U D G M E N T

The grievance raised in the Original Petition relates to the non issuance of NLC for enabling the petitioner to receive her DCRG amount. This Court as per interim order dated 27.9.1999 had directed the respondents to disburse the gratuity amount admitted after deducting an amount of Rs.1,306/- mentioned in Ext.P3. Therefore, no further orders are called for in this case. It is seen that the petitioner has challenged the fixation of the liability before the Government and the dismissal of the writ petition will not stand in the way of prosecuting the same by the petitioner in accordance with law.

The Original Petition is dismissed as above.

T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, JUDGE.

Kvs/-